

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/659,797 | 10/659,797 09/11/2003 | | MFLEX.007A | 5258 | |
| 20995 | 20995 7590 09/20/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| KNOBBE M 2040 MAIN S | ARTENS OLSON & | DONOVAN, LINCOLN D | | | |
| FOURTEENTH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| IRVINE, CA | 92614 | | 2832 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | - | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|---|------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/659,797 | HARDING, PHIL | RDING, PHILIP A. | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Lincoln Donovan | 2832 | | |
| Period | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence a | nddress | |
| AS WH - E: af - If - F: A | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Detensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. Iter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period billure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut may reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing three patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become | IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| , – | - | s action is non-final. | • • | ne merits is | |
| Dispos | ition of Claims | | | | |
| 5)[6)[∑ 7)[| Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-17 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 and 11-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | |
| Applica | ation Papers | • | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | are: a)⊠ accepted or b) drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 (| CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority | v under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attacher | ont(c) | | • | | |
| 2) | ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date <u>07-20-05</u> . | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT | ГО-152) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/659,797

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roy et al. [US 3,372,358] in view of Krone et al. [US 5,781,091].

Roy et al. disclose an inductive device comprising:

- a slab of ferromagnetic material [20, column 2, lines 7-72] having a series of rows and columns of space via holes [30] therethrough;
 - at least one winding [32] extending through the via hole; and
- electrical printed circuits [22, 23] formed on the top and bottom of the slab [figure 4] connectable with the winding.

Roy et al. disclose everything claimed except the at least one winding being a plurality of windings forming a transformer, the specific type and configuration of the transformer and the specific connections made with the electrical circuits.

Krone et al. discloses a circuit board [44, figure 15] having a plurality of vias [48] accommodating primary and secondary conductors [figure 25, column 4, lines 37-51] forming a transformer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the winding via portion of Roy et al., could be modified to

Application/Control Number: 10/659,797

Art Unit: 2832

include multiple conductors to form a transformer, as suggested by Krone et al., in order to accommodate the transformer assembly within the circuit arrangement.

Both Roy et al. and Krone et al. disclose multiple embodiments of the conductors on the circuit board/magnetic slab. The specific type of transformer, turns ratio and arrangement of the conductors therewithin would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended application of the device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06-27-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited references do not disclose "passing a primary current carrying conductor and a secondary, or sensing, conductor through the same via 402." Applicant has not claimed such a limitation. Applicant merely claims that the conductors extend through the same holes of the series of spaced holes on slab of the conductor. Applicant has not explicitly claimed the conductors extending through a same single hole on the slab. Note: in claims 1 and 13, it is stated that there is a slab having a series of rows and columns of spaced via holes therethrough with a first primary conductor extending through a "first one of said via holes formed..." and a "second primary conductor extending through a second one of said via holes formed." In claim 2, it is stated that there is a slab having a series of spaced via holes with the primary and secondary extending through the same holes. In claims 11-12, it is stated that the primary and second conductors pass through holes on a slab. Applicant has not claimed the conductors necessarily physically passing through the same hole(s).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is 571-272-1988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Enad Elvin can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ldd